

G205

Fundamentals of Computer Engineering

CLASS 20, Mon. Nov. 17 2003

Stefano Basagni

Fall 2003

M-W, 9:50am-11:30am, 410 EII

Initialization for Shortest Paths

◆ All shortest-paths algorithms start with

Init-Single-Source(V, s)

for each $v \in V$ do

$d[v] = \infty$

$\pi[v] = \text{NIL}$

$d[s] = 0$

Relaxation

- ◆ Can we improve the shortest-path estimated for v going through u and taking (u,v) ?

Relax(u,v,w)

if $d[v] > d[u] + w(u,v)$

then $d[v] = d[u] + w(u,v)$

$n[v] = u$

Scheme for Single-Source Shortest-Paths Algorithms

- ◆ Start by calling Init-Single-Source
- ◆ Relax edges
- ◆ Different algorithms differ on
 - Number of relaxations
 - Order of relaxations
- ◆ Bellman-Ford: $|V|-1$ consecutive relaxations
- ◆ Dijkstra: “greedy” relaxation

Dijkstra Algorithm for Shortest Paths

◆ INPUT:

- A directed graph $G=(V,E)$
- Source s
- A weight function $w:E \rightarrow \mathbf{R}^+$
 - ◆ $w(u,v) \geq 0, (u,v) \in E$
- ◆ No problem with negative-weight cycles
- ◆ Maintain a set $S \subseteq V$ whose final shortest-path weights from s have been determined

Dijkstra Algorithm

Dijkstra(G, w, s)

Initialize-Single-Source(G, s)

$S = \emptyset$

$Q = V$

while $Q \neq \emptyset$ do

$u = \text{Extract-Min}(Q)$

$S = S \cup \{u\}$

 for each vertex $v \in \text{Adj}[u]$ do Relax(u, v, w)

Shortest Paths Properties

- ◆ Upper-bound Property: Always have $d[v] \geq d(s,v)$ for all v . When $d[v] = d(s,v)$ it never changes
- ◆ No-path property: If $d(s,v) = \infty$ then $d[v] = \infty$ always
- ◆ Convergence property: If $s \rightsquigarrow u \rightarrow v$ is a shortest path, $d[u] = d(s,u)$ and we call $\text{Relax}(u,v,w)$ then $d[v] = d(s,v)$ afterward

Dijkstra Correctness, 1

- ◆ Dijkstra maintains the invariant $Q=V \setminus S$ at the start of each iteration of the while loop:
 - Initialization: It is clearly true before the while ($S=0$ and $Q=V$)
 - Maintenance: u is extracted from $Q=V \setminus S$ and inserted in S (first time, $u = s$)
 - Termination: $Q=0$, and $S=V$

Correctness, 2

◆ **Theorem:** Dijkstra algorithm, run on a weighted, directed graph $G=(V,E)$ with weight function w and source s , terminates with $d[v]=d(s,v)$ for all vertices $v \in V$

Correctness, 3

Proof: We use the following invariant:

At the start of each iteration of the while loop
 $d[v] = d(s, v)$ for all $v \in S$

It suffices to show that $d[v] = d(s, v)$ at the time v is added to S . Once $d[v] = d(s, v)$ we use the upper-bound property to show that the equality holds at all times thereafter

Correctness, 4

Initialization: It is $S=0$, so, true

Maintenance: By contradiction, let $u \neq s$ be the first vertex such that $d[u] \neq d(s,u)$ when it is added to S . Right before u is added to S , it is $S \neq 0$. Then there must be a path from s to u , otherwise $d[u] = d(s,u) = \infty$ by the no-path property which would violate $d[u] \neq d(s,u)$.

Correctness, 5

If there is at least one path, there is a shortest path $p = s \rightsquigarrow u$ which connects $s \in S$ to $u \in V \setminus S$. Let us decompose p in $p_1 = s \rightsquigarrow x$ and $p_2 = y \rightsquigarrow u$ with x the predecessor of y : $p = s \rightsquigarrow x \rightarrow y \rightsquigarrow u$ (either p_1 or p_2 may have no edges) with $x \in S$ and y the first vertex in $V \setminus S$. **Claim:** $d[y] \neq d(s, y)$ when u is added to S

Correctness, 6

Since $x \in S$ and u was chosen as the first vertex such that $d[u] \neq d(s,u)$ when it was added to S , it is $d[x] \neq d(s,x)$ when x was added to S . Edge (x,y) was relaxed at that time, so the claim follows from the convergence property

Correctness, 7

Since p is a shortest path from s to u and y comes before u , and **since there are no negative edges** it is $d(s,y) \leq d(s,u)$. Thus: $d[y] = d(s,y) \leq d(s,u) \leq d[u]$ (\leftarrow upper bound property). But because both vertices were in $V \setminus S$ when u was chosen we have $d[u] \leq d[y]$, which imposes $d(s,u) = d[u]$, a contradiction.

Correctness, 8

Termination: At termination, $Q=0$, which, along with the invariant $Q=V\setminus S$, implies $S=V$. Thus, $d[v]=d(s,v)$ for each vertex v in V .

Corollary: At termination the predecessor subgraph G_π is a shortest path tree rooted at s

Binary Heaps

- ◆ A **binary heap** is an (array) object that can be seen as a nearly complete binary tree
- ◆ The tree is completely filled on all levels except, possibly, the lowest, which is partially filled from the left
- ◆ Two kind of binary heaps:
 - Max-heaps, and
 - Min-heaps

Priority Queues

- ◆ A priority queue is a data structure for maintaining a set S of elements, each with a key
- ◆ A min-priority queue supports the operations:
 - $\text{Insert}(S,x)$, insertion
 - $\text{Minimum}(S)$, returns the element with the largest key
 - $\text{Extract-Min}(S)$, removes and returns the min
 - $\text{Decrease-Key}(S,x,k)$ decreases the key of x to the new value k (assumed smaller than $\text{key}[x]$)

Heaps for Priority Queues

- ◆ Given the operations on binary heaps, the operations on a priority queue cost:
 - Insert: $O(\log n)$
 - Minimum: $O(1)$
 - Extract-Min: $O(\log n)$
 - Decrease-Key: $O(\log n)$
- ◆ A heap can support any priority queue operations on a set of size n in $O(\log n)$ time (worst case)

Fibonacci Heaps

- ◆ Heap operations that do not involve deletion are implemented in $O(1)$ amortized time
- ◆ Desirable when Extract-Min and Delete are small compared to other operations
- ◆ A Fibonacci heap is a collection of trees
- ◆ (Not of practical use sometimes ...)

Dijkstra Analysis, 1

- ◆ Dijkstra maintains a min-priority queue by calling three operations:
 - Insert (implicit in $Q=V$)
 - Extract-Min
 - Decrease-Key (implicit in Relax)
- ◆ Insert and Extract-Min are invoked one per vertex
- ◆ Decrease-Key is executed $|E|$ times (once per edge)

Analysis, 2

- ◆ Dijkstra running time depends on how we implement the priority queue
- ◆ Being the vertices number from 1 to $|V|$ we can store $d[v]$ in the v -th position if an **array**:
 - Insert and Decrease-Key takes $O(1)$
 - Extract-Min takes $O(V)$
- ◆ $O(V^2 + E) = O(V^2)$

Analysis, 3

- ◆ If the graph is sparse the priority queue can be implemented by a **binary min-heap**
 - Insert: $O(\log V) \rightarrow O(V \log V)$ to build the heap
 - Decrease-Key takes $O(\log V)$
 - Extract-Min takes $O(\log V)$
- ◆ $O((V+E) \log V) = O(E \log V)$ if all vertices are reachable from the source
- ◆ Improvement over $O(V^2)$ when $|E| = o(V^2/\log V)$

Analysis, 4

- ◆ Using a Fibonacci heap
- ◆ Still $O(V)$ to build the heap
- ◆ Amortized cost of each of the $|V|$ Extract-Min is $O(\log V)$
- ◆ Amortized cost of each of the $|E|$ Decrease-Key is $O(1)$
- ◆ Dijkstra cost: $O(V \log V + E)$

Assignments

- ◆ Textbook, Chapter 24, pages 595—614
- ◆ Updated information on the class web page:

www.ece.neu.edu/courses/eceg205/2003fa