



# Secure Pebblenets

Stefano Basagni

K. Herrin, E. Rosti and D. Bruschi

Notes for ECE 3656, Winter 2003



# Pebblenets

Ad hoc nets with a twist:

- ◆ Very small communication devices with:
  - Embedded processing capabilities
  - Storage
  - Communication
- ◆ Large number
- ◆ Battery powered



# Why Yet Another Name?

- ◆ Pebblenets can be deployed
  - For enabling peer-to-peer communication among mobile network users
  - As sensor networks, for sensing activities and gathering and transport of data
  
- ◆ Each device is like a “pebble”



# Security in Pebblenets

Security requirements:

- ◆ Confidentiality: all communication are intelligible by authorized principals only
- ◆ Integrity: all communications are modifiable by authorized principals only
- ◆ Authenticity: all communication are generated by authorized principals only
- ◆ Availability: the service is available only to authorized principals when needed



# Pebblenets: The System

- ◆ Pebbles are born equal
- ◆ Pebbles are small things: symmetric key cryptography is the only feasible solution
- ◆ Each pebble is equipped with a secret *group identity key* ( $K_{GI}$ ), and one-way function  $h$
- ◆ Authentication is based upon group membership and not individual identities
- ◆ Data is protected with a global *Traffic Encryption Key* (TEK)



# Pebblenets: The System (II)

- ◆ Each pebble has local unique identifier ID
- ◆ They can dynamically compute a *weight* that accounts for:
  - Pebble parameters (mobility, battery power, etc.)
  - Surrounding environment
  - Etc.
- ◆ Pebbles are tamper-resistant (capturing honest pebbles does not allow to insert malicious ones)



# Secure Pebblenets: The Problem

- ◆ Secure pebblenets should guarantee data traffic confidentiality and authenticity
- ◆ Data traffic is encrypted by all pebbles by using the same *Traffic Encryption Key (TEK)*
- ◆ The TEK changes during network lifetime
- ◆ This paper: **Key management protocol for securely updating and distributing the TEK to the pebbles**



# The Re-keying Protocol

- ◆ Executed periodically at each pebble
- ◆ Selection of key managers (that produce the new TEK)
- ◆ Security is increased by selecting each time a different key manager
- ◆ The selection is based on the dynamically changing weight associated to each pebble (unpredictable selection of the fittest pebble for the role)





# Re-keying Protocol: Two Phases

- ◆ I: Distributed and secure selection of a small fraction of the fittest pebbles and their organization into a “small backbone”
- ◆ II: Distributed and secure, weight-based selection of the pebble(s) that generate the new TEK



# Re-keying Protocol: Phase I

- ◆ Secure neighbor discovery:  $p \Rightarrow N(p)$

$$E(K_i, H)(w(p) | ID(p) | MAC(K_{GI}))$$

- ◆ Secure “elector” selection:  $p \Rightarrow N(p)$

$$E(K_i, H)(w(p) | ID(p) | Role | MAC(K_{GI}))$$

- ◆ Electors covers some non-electors:  $e \Rightarrow N(e)$

$$E(K_i, H)(ID(e) | K_e | MAC(K_{GI}))$$



# Re-keying Protocols: Phase I

## ◆ Secure backbone construction

- The described electors selection protocols leads to a topology such that:
  - Pebbles are either electors or not (*tertium non datur*)
  - No two electors are neighbors
  - All non-electors are covered by one elector
- A backbone of electors is obtained by “joining” electors that are at most three hops away
  - The backbone is connected iff the pebblenet is
  - There is a backbone key to secure this operation



# Re-keying Protocol: Phase II

- ◆ The *Key Manager* should be the fittest elector, i.e., the elector with the biggest weight
- ◆ Ad hoc leader election is expensive (backbone wide)
- ◆ We define a unique, localized way for each pebble to decide whether it can be a *Potential Key Manager (PKM)*



# Re-keying Protocol: Phase II

- ◆ A pebble is a PKM if it has the bigger weight among its neighboring electors
- ◆ A PKM generates and broadcasts over the backbone a new *TEK* (and a new backbone key) after an exponentially computed time
- ◆ *TEKs* are sent with ID of generating pebble
- ◆ Other backbone pebbles compare ID and make decision to keep or discard *TEK*



# Re-keying Protocol: Phase II

- ◆ “Collisions” are handled by individual backbone pebbles
- ◆ Result is global selection of new *TEK* based on ID
- ◆ New *TEK* is securely distributed by backbone pebble to their covered pebbles
- ◆ All pebbles use the new *TEK* for data encryption



# Conclusions and Future Work

- ◆ To go: Key management protocol to secure communication in networks of small devices, resource-constrained devices
- ◆ To go: Fast and simple, adaptive to changing network condition
- ◆ To go: Provable time/message complexities, correctness and beyond
- ◆ Next: Extensive simulation results
- ◆ Next: The exponential thing vs. leader election?
- ◆ Next: Splits and joins and other amenities